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## High energy kinematics



Tevatron / LHC


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s \gg Q^{2} \gg \Lambda^{2} & x=\frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2}+s} \ll 1 \\
\alpha_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right) \ll 1 & \alpha_{s} \log (1 / x) \sim 1
\end{array}
$$

$$
x=\frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2}+s} \ll \quad[Y=\log (1 / x)]
$$

DGLAP works well for total cross sections in DIS at small $x$, BUT:
$X$ not justified theoretically: one should resum $\sum c_{n}\left(\alpha_{s} \log (1 / x)\right)^{n}$
$x$ fails to describe some observables in DIS and pp
$x$ some specific small- $x$ phenomena have no natural formulation

## Specific small-x phenomena



A specific treatment of the small- $x$ regime is needed!

## Outline

* The basic tools of high energy physics: kt factorization. BFKL, CCFM
* The dipole factorization
* Non linear evolution: BK equation, color glass condensate
* Phenomenology of the dipole model


## Collinear versus kt-factorization

Collinear factorization

$$
Q^{2} \gg k^{2} \simeq 0
$$

$\sigma\left(x, Q^{2}\right) \sim \int \frac{d z}{z} C\left(\frac{x}{z}\right) f\left(z, Q^{2}\right)$
coefficient function
gluon density
obeys DGLAP
kt factorization


$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma\left(x, Q^{2}\right) \sim \int \frac{d z}{z} \int d^{2} k \\
\text { off-shell photon-gluon } \\
\text { matrix element }
\end{gathered}
$$

## The BFKL equation



Result at leading order, exclusive form:

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(x, k^{2}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mu^{2}}^{k^{2}} \frac{d^{2} q_{i}}{\pi q_{i}^{2}} \int \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{z_{i}} \exp \left(-\bar{\alpha} \log \left(1 / z_{i}\right) \log \left(k_{i}^{2} / \mu^{2}\right)\right)\right) \delta^{2}\left(k-\sum q_{i}\right)
$$

splitting function virtual corrections

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Predictions: } & \text { ※ } & \mathcal{F}\left(x, k^{2}\right) \sim x^{-\bar{\alpha} \times 4 \log 2} \sim x^{-0.5} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { if taken literally, ruled out } \\
\text { by the HERA data }
\end{array} \\
& \text { * } \quad \text { number of final state gluons } & \propto \bar{\alpha} \log (1 / x)
\end{array}
$$

## The non-forward BFKL equation

BFKL provides the elastic gluon-gluon scattering amplitude for any $t$

Photoproduction of vector mesons
Enberg, Motyka, Poludniowski (2002)


## Beyond leading log BFKL

Several phenomenological improvements:
$\checkmark$ Exact kinematics of gluon emission Kwiecinski, Martin, Stasto (1997)
$\checkmark$ Running coupling effects Collins, Kwiecinski (1989); Mueller, Kovchegov (1998)...

Full next-to-leading order BFKL kernel computed

Fadin, Lipatov;
Camici, Ciafaloni (1998)

* Corrections are HUGE!!! First, looked inconsistent [oscillating cross sections]
$\begin{array}{ll}* \text { now better understanding } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Salam; Ciafaloni, Colferai, Salam; } \\ \text { Ball, Forte; Brodsky, Lipatov et al (1999)... }\end{array}\end{array}$
* predicted $x$-dependence agrees with the HERA data $\mathcal{F}\left(x, k^{2}\right) \sim x^{-0.2}$
* sound phenomenology under way Ciafaloni, Colferai, Salam, Stasto;

Altarelli, Ball, Forte (1999-...)

## The CCFM approach



## Interpolation between BFKL and DGLAP

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { improved splitting function: } \quad \bar{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{z_{i}}+\frac{1}{1-z_{i}}\right) \\
& \mathcal{F}\left(x, k^{2}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mu^{2}}^{k^{2}} \frac{d^{2} q_{i}}{\pi q_{i}^{2}} \int \not \underline{z_{i}} \exp \left(-\bar{\alpha} \log \left(1 / z_{i}\right) \log \left(k_{i}^{2} / \mu^{2}\right)\right)\right) \delta^{2}\left(k-\sum q_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Forward jets at HERA

Jung, Salam (2001)
Jung (2003)

Monte-carlo event generator CASCADE:

CCFM + off-shell matrix element

+ hadronization

CCFM works well while collinear factorization fails!
Reasonable description also of $c, b$ production at the Tevatron
$\Rightarrow$ Promising tool to study the hadronic final state!
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## The dipole factorization



For a dipole target, leading order cross section: $\quad \sigma_{d}\left(r_{1,} r_{2}\right)=2 \pi \alpha_{s}^{2} r_{<}^{2}\left(1+\log \frac{r_{>}}{r_{<}}\right)$ hadronic target of size $R$ :

$$
=2 \pi R^{2} N(Y, r)
$$

$$
N \leq 1 \text { from unitarity }
$$

## QCD evolution



* Go to the rest frame of the target
* With increasing energy, higher Fork states are accessible
$*$ Large $N_{c} \Rightarrow$ Fork state expanded on dipole basis

$$
\left|r>=\left|r>_{\text {bare }}+\int d^{2} r \psi_{1}\left(r^{\prime}\right)\right| r-r^{\prime}, r^{\prime}>+\ldots\right.
$$

* Each dipole becomes the seed of a new independent evolution
[ leading $\log (1 / x)$ ]
$\Rightarrow$ dipole number density $n(Y) \sim e^{\lambda Y}$
amplitude: $N(Y, r)=\int d^{2} r^{\prime} n\left(Y, r^{\prime}\right) \sigma_{d}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$
Detailed calculation: $n$ and $N$ obey the BFKL equation

$$
\partial_{Y} N(Y, r)=\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2 \pi} \underbrace{\int d^{2} r^{\prime} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{\prime 2}\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}_{\text {branching probability }}(\underbrace{N\left(Y, r^{\prime}\right)+N\left(Y, r-r^{\prime}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { interaction of the } \\
\text { newly created dipoles }
\end{array}}-N(Y, r))
$$

At this level:

## dipole model $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{kt}$ factorization

## Unitarity violations

$$
\text { Interaction probability }=1-|1-N(Y, r)|^{2} \quad \Rightarrow N(Y, r) \leq 1
$$

unitarity violated!


What's wrong?
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## Going to higher energies: the BK equation

Gribov, Levin, Ryskin (1981); Mueller, Tang (1986) Balitsky (1996); Kovchegov (1999)


BFKL: a single dipole interacts with the target


At higher energy:
all dipoles may interact simultaneously

Now the amplitude obeys a nonlinear evolution equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{Y} N(Y, r)=\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} r^{\prime} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{\prime 2}\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(N\left(Y, r^{\prime}\right)+N\left(Y, r-r^{\prime}\right)-N(Y, r)-N\left(Y, r^{\prime}\right) N\left(Y, r-r^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \text { Established only when the dipole interactions are independent! } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { BFKL } \\
\text { tames the growth } \\
\text { when } N \text { of order } 1
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solving BK (I)

## Asymptotic solution: traveling waves

$$
N(Y, r)=N\left(\log r^{2}-\log 1 / Q_{s}^{2}(Y)\right)
$$

Also seen on numerical solutions:
Braun (2000); Golec-Biernat, Motyka, Staśto (2002); Albacete, Armesto, Kovner, Salgado, Wiedemann (2003)

$Q_{s}(Y)=$ saturation scale

$$
\Rightarrow \sigma^{\curlyvee p}\left(Q^{2} / Q_{s}^{2}\right)
$$



Geometric scaling
Staśto, Golec-Biernat, Kwieciński (2000)

## Solving BK (II)

Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos (2002)
S.M., Peschanski (2004)

* Wave selected at large $Y$ has velocity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\frac{d}{d Y} \log Q_{s}^{2}=\bar{\alpha} \frac{\chi\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}{\gamma_{0}}-\frac{3}{2 \gamma_{0}} \frac{1}{Y}+\frac{3}{\gamma_{0}^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \bar{\alpha} \chi^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}} \frac{1}{Y^{3 / 2}}+\ldots \\
& \chi(\gamma)=\text { Mellin transform of BFKL kernel } \quad \gamma_{0} \simeq 0.63 \text { solves } \frac{\chi\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}{\gamma_{0}}=\chi^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{0}\right) \\
& \text { Gribov, Levin, Ryskin (1981) }
\end{aligned}
$$

* Deep in the saturation region: Levin-Tuchin law Levin, Tuchin (2000)

$$
N(Y, r)=1-\exp \left(-c \log ^{2}\left(r^{2} Q_{s}^{2}(Y)\right)\right) \quad \text { equivalent to saturation of the gluon density }
$$

* Finite Y, near the saturation scale:

$$
\mathcal{F}(x, k) \sim 2 \pi R^{2} \frac{1}{\alpha_{s}} \log \frac{Q_{s}^{2}}{k^{2}}
$$

$$
N(Y, r)=N_{0} \times(\underbrace{\left.r^{2} Q_{s}^{2}(Y)\right)^{\gamma_{0}} \log \left(\frac{1}{r^{2} Q_{s}^{2}}\right)}_{\text {geometric scaling }} \underbrace{\exp \left(\frac{-\log ^{2}\left(r^{2} Q_{s}^{2}\right)}{2 \bar{\alpha} X^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{0}\right) Y}\right)}_{\text {diffusion-type violations }}+\ldots
$$

## Beyond the BK equation



The assumption that dipoles interact independently is in general not justified
$\partial_{Y} N(Y, r)=\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} r^{\prime} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{\prime 2}\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(N\left(Y, r^{\prime}\right)+N\left(Y, r-r^{\prime}\right)-N(Y, r)-N_{2}\left(Y, r^{\prime}, r-r^{\prime}\right)\right)$
$\neq N\left(Y, r^{\prime}\right) N\left(Y, r-r^{\prime}\right)$
$\partial_{Y} N_{2}\left(Y, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\ldots N_{3}\left(Y, r_{1}, r_{2} r_{3}\right) \ldots+$ more complicated color structures beyond dipoles
... infinite hierarchy of coupled equations
Numerical solutions: Salam (1995); Weigert, Rummukainen (2003) Analytical approach: Iancu, Mueller (2003); Mueller, Shoshi (2004)

BK is only a mean field approximation; could be very bad!

## Is there saturation at HERA?

$S=1-N$

The dipole $S$-matrix can be measured from elastic processes (e.g. vector meson production)
$S \sim 1 \Rightarrow$ dilute regime, no saturation
$S \sim 0 \Rightarrow$ dense regime, saturation
Interaction probability $=1-S^{2}$
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## Phenomenology of the dipole model (I)

Golec-Biernat-Wüsthoff model:

$$
N(Y, r)=1-\exp \left(-\frac{r^{2} Q_{s}^{2}(Y)}{4}\right)
$$

$$
Q_{s}^{2}(Y)=\left(\frac{x}{x_{0}}\right)^{-\lambda} \mathrm{GeV}^{2}
$$

* Economical 3-parameter description of small $x$ data, inclusive and diffractive
* Saturation scale at 1 GeV at HERA: a perturbative scale?
* Many recent improvements
$\checkmark$ DGLAP corrections Barels, Golec-Biernat, Kowalski (2002)
$\checkmark$ Impact parameter dependence Kowalki, Teaney (2002)
$\checkmark$ Other similar proposals, better rooted in QCD lancu, Itakura, S.M. (2003)
$\checkmark$ Phenomenology from numerical solutions of BK Levin, Lublinsky etal (2002)

The Kowalski-Teaney model (2003)




## Phenomenology of the dipole model (II)

What is it good for?


Provides a natural formulation of diffraction
[Good-Walker picture]
See e.g. S.M., Shoshi (2004)
First model to predict a constant diffractive/total ratio

See also Kovchegov, Levin (1999);
Levin, Lublinsky (2001)

Also: dipole cross section is universal

* inclusive DIS, diffraction
* forward jets in pp...
* sufficiently inclusive observables


## A closer look at diffraction

S.M., Shoshi (2004)




Data: ZEUS (2002)

## Summary

Various approaches to small $x$ physics with different applications:

## BFKL:

$\checkmark$ total cross sections, when energy not too high.
$\checkmark$ phenomenology of NLL in progress!
$x$ but unitarity corrections have no «natural » formulation

## CCFM:

$\checkmark$ hadronic final state, both HERA and Tevatron/LHC
Dipoles:
$\checkmark$ inclusive, diffractive, semi-inclusive observables
$\checkmark$ unitarity corrections are incorporated in a natural way
$\checkmark$ nice phenomenology
$x$ but cannot describe exclusive final state!
Still to be understood:
$x$ bunn
Color glass condensate:
$\checkmark$ more systematic treatment of unitarity corrections
$\checkmark$ beyond large number of colors
$\checkmark$ unifying approach to different processes: DIS, pp, pA, AA
impact parameter dependence, solution to the full JIMWLK equation...

Look for clear
signatures of saturation at HERA, RHIC, LHC!

