

I. Standard Model - problems

II. Beyond SM

Standard Model very successfulhowever they are problems: Many parameters (masses)~20 more in other models Why 3 fermion families? no answer also in other models Nonzero mass of neutrinos easy extension of the SM Hierarchy problem - $M_{H}(\sim 100 \text{ GeV}) << M_{Planck} (10^{19} \text{ GeV})$ often formulated as difference of the EW (~ 1 TeV) and Planck scales Gravitation (gravity)? Describes 4 % of Universe - no candidate for **Dark Matter** Deviation from the SM? Higgs boson as in SM? M.Krawczyk, AF.Zarnecki Particles and Universe 13 2

Non-zero neutrino mass

In the SM (neutrino mass ZERO)

⇒ ograniczenie na masę neutrina elektronowego:

 $m_{\nu} < 2.2 \ eV \ (95\% \ CL)$


- only left neutrinos and right antineutrinos

- However from ~ 2001 we know that neutrinos have masses → extra neutrino/ antineutrino states
- For sure weak interaction of these extra states very, very weak (experiments)

 SM extension in the EW sector – with extra SU(2) symmetry for left and right states, and suppression mechanism explaining very small masses of neutrinos (seesaw ..)

Masses of fermions

neutrino.ift.uni.wroc.pl/?page=Neutrina/neutrina.html



Hierarchy problem in the SM

- SM works at present energies up to which energy (Λ) can we apply it?
- Quantum corrections to the Higgs mass loops with arbitrary large energies.
- If we include contributions only up to energy
 A (cut –off)
- Corrections to the Higgs mass (squared)

~ {couplings² } x Λ^2

For $\Lambda = 10 \text{ TeV}$

and mass ~ 200 GeV $m_h^2 = m_{tree}^2 - [100 - 10 - 5] (200 GeV)^2$

Higg

W,Z,

top

Fine-tuning for SM

$$-\frac{3}{8\pi^2}\lambda_t^2\Lambda^2 \sim -(2TeV)^2$$


from the top loop,
$$\frac{1}{16\pi^2}g^2\Lambda^2 \sim (700 \, GeV)^2$$

from the gauge loop, and
$$\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\lambda^2\Lambda^2 \sim (500 \, GeV)^2$$

from the Higgs loop. Thus

1 TeV no fine tuning (SM natural)

Fine tuning for $\Lambda = 10$ TeV



Schmaltz' 2000

Fine tuning in the SM \rightarrow new physics


In LHC energy ~ 10 TeV

fine tuning 1/100

→ new physics expected
 new particles, new interactions..

Gravitation

 New physics - gravitation?
 Gravitation- very weak for small energies. It is strong at very large energies
 → "strength" equal to other couplings for



Planck energy

David Gross: The Coming Revolutions in Theoretical Physics

http://www.youtube.com/ watch?v=AM7SnUlw-DU&feature=channel

Newton law

Beyond SM → towards unification


Standard Model: gauge symmetry <u>SU(2)xU(1)</u>xSU(3)_c

EW: $SU(2)\timesU(1) \rightarrow partial unification of weak and e-m interactions$

Grand Unified Theory – GUT (various models)
 → unification of EW and strong interaction.
 Gauge symmetry GUT acts at energies above 10¹⁵⁻¹⁶ GeV.

 In fundamental description of gravity → Planck scales: Planck mass 1.2 x 10¹⁹ GeV Planck lenght 1.6 x 10⁻³⁵ m
 Theory of Everything - full unification of interactions (together with gravity)
 J. Lukierski: Od Modelu Standardowego do teorii M: Teorie Wszystkiego http://postepy.fuw.edu.pl/zjazdy/Gdansk2003/PF404Lukierski.pdf

UNIFICATION WITH GRAVITATION AND EARLY UNIVERSE



Beyond the Standard Model

1/ Extension of symmetry → supersymmetry

2/ Extension of space-time \rightarrow extra space dimensions

3/ Extension of size of particles \rightarrow extended fundamental particles (strings, membrans and p-brans)


Towards the Theory of Everything (no works on substructure...)

SUPERSYMMETRY

In Nature – two types of particles: fermions and bosons
 In Supersymmetry there is symmetry fermion ↔ boson, so doubling of fundamental particles is assumed

Supersymmetric partners of all known SM fundamental particles = SUSY particles


However SUSY particles have to be very massivewe do not see them (even at LHC). Supersymmetry must be violated !



SUSY particles

Standard particles

SUSY particles



Supersymmetry is super
 Hierarchy ?- no problem here (almost), since cancelation of fermionic and bosonic loops


Unification of interaction(GUT): better than in SM
 Prediction: SM-like Higgs particle with mass below 135 GeV

minus

Additional assumption: R symmetry

 (and conservation of additive quantum number R
 for SUSY particles), then the lightest neutral
 SUSY particle is stable → dark matter candidate

Unification of forces(couplings) in SM and MSSM (Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model)



y: from top 1/α U(1) , 1/α_W SU(2) , 1/α_s SU(3) ; *x:* log energy Q/TeV M.Krawczyk, AF.Zarnecki Particles and Universe 13

MSSM – Higgs sector

2 doublets od spin-0 fields to generate masses of fundamental particles Prediction: existence of 5 Higgs particles(spin 0) h, H, A (neutral) i H[±] (charged) Note - h has properties as the SM Higgs particle, that why LHC does not exclude MSSM ! Higgsino - spin ½ Gauginos (spin ¹/₂: photino, gluino, wino, zino) mix with higgsino -> neutralinos (neutral) and charginos (charged) M.Krawczyk, AF.Zarnecki Particles and Universe 13 $(\rightarrow DM particle)$ 17

SUPERSYMMETRY

D. Gross

Discovery of supersymmetry discovery of quantum dimension of space-time

Natural and unique extension of relativistic symmetries of nature

LHC- two main directions of searches: Higgs and SUSY particles No signal of SUSY yet...


First Theory of Grand Unification :SU(5)

 Gauge symmetry SU(5) - it contains SM symmetry SU(2)xU(1)xSU(3) – idea in 1974 by Glashow, Georgi In multiplets of SU(5) they are both quarks and leptons so they can transform to each other ! (nonconservation of baryonic B and leptonic quantum number L!) 12 color gauge bosons (leptoquarks): X (el. -1/3) i Y(- 4/3) plus gluons, photon, W/Z – in total 24 gauge bosons 3 families of fermions(15 states) eg. 5-plet = $(d_R, d_G, d_B, e^+, v_e)$, there are also 10-plets (u) In this model quantisation of el.charge.: charge of electron = - charge of proton 19 M.Krawczyk, AF.Zarnecki Particles and Universe 13

Proton decay $p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$ in SU(5)

Proton decays with exchange of X/Y bosons too fast \rightarrow life time of proton 10^{30-31} y, however experiment $>10^{31-33}$ y - that why this model has been rejected

Example of processes with exchange of X/Y



KALUZA-KLEIN THEORY

First theory with extra dimensions (compact)



(1919-21) If space-time is dynamical there might exist new, curled up, spatial dimensions.



٧

Einstein was fascinated by this idea and came back to it over and over again---for over 30 years.

Can explain E&M as an effect of gravity in 5 dimensions

D.Gross



х

New idea – 1998 large extra dimensions

Assumption: Gravity and EW interaction may have similar strength already for energy ~1- 10TeV !

Since gravity was studied (tested) up to distance of 1 cm (what about 1 cm up to 10⁻³³ cm ???) Newton law can be modified, eg.



with two extra curled space dimensions Idea: particles of SM live in 4 dimensions (3+1), and graviton in extra dimensions <u>M. Shifman http://arxiv.org/pdf/0907.3074v2.pdf</u> M.Krawczyk, AF.Zarnecki Particles and Universe 13

Superstrings

Theory of Everything TOE - all interaction including gravity

In quantum field theory – interactions are pointlike, this leads to infinities

- Superstrings example of TOE. Pointlike particles are replaced by strings with finite length.
- Planck scales are relevant I_{Pl}=1.6 10⁻³⁵ m, M_{Pl}=1.2 10¹⁹ GeV
- Superstrings renormalized theory in 10 (or 26 for nonsupersymmetric strings) more dimensions
- Parameter described strings α' the same as for hadronic strings (see below)
- Superstrings no clear predictions and many versions

THE ACHIEVEMENTS OF STRING THEORY

• A Consistent, Logical Extension of the Conceptual Framework of Physics

REVOLUTIONS IN PHYSICS

Relativity	С	Velocity of light
Quantum Mechanics	h	Quantum of action
String Theory	G	Planck length

- A Consistent and Finite Quantum Theory of Gravity
- A Rich Structure That Could Yield a Unique and Comprehensive Description of the Real World (a T.O.E)

There are other opinions as well

M. Veltman (Facts and Mysteries in Elementary Particle Physics, 2003):

..this book is about a physics, and this implies that the theoretical ideas discussed must be supported by experimatal facts. Neither supersymmetry nor string theory satisfy this criterion. They are figments of the theoretical mind.

To quote Pauli: "They are not even wrong."

They have no place here.

Questions to lecture 13

- Write 3 problems of the SM
- What is a meaning of GUT?
- GUT is this a common (unified) description of all fundamental interaction including gravity?
- What is energy of GUT?
- Has supersymmetry been discovered ?
- How many Higgs particles is predicted in the MSSM?
- Is life time of the proton predicted in the SU(5) in agreement with experiment?
- Is the baryonic number conserved in SU(5)?
- Do exist models with more than 4 space-time dimentions?
- What is main idea of superstring theory?
- Does the superstring theory include gravity?
- What is the parameter of the superstring theory existing in the hadronic interactions?

Gluonic String


Inside hadrons

If we want to separate quarks

→ gluonic string, excitations – higher masses and spins of hadrons

Spin of hadron= total angular momentum of string

Total angular momentum J = orbital angular momentum L + spin of quarks




Result: $J = \alpha' E^2 + const$ Perkins bookSlope $\alpha' = 1/(2\pi k\hbar c)$ Perkins bookExp $\alpha' = 0.93 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \rightarrow density of energy k = 0.87 \text{ GeV fm}^{-1}$

Spectra of hadrons
→ Regge trajectories

The gluonic string model \rightarrow linear relation between mass squared and spin of particles observed for hadrons – both baryons and mesons.

Linear relation → Regge trajectories for groups of hadron with the same isospin (and the quantum numbers) but different masses and spins

Regge trajectory $J=J_0+\alpha'm^2$ here for baryons (izospin 3/2 i 0)



Model struny gluonowej

(wg D. Perkins, Wstęp do fizyki wysokich energii str. 178-9)

Gęstość energii na jednostkę długości struny = k
 Na końcach struny dwa (w przybliżeniu) bezmasowe kwarki (q i q) wirują z prędkością v = c

- Prędkość liniowa elementu struny w odl. r od środka wynosi v/c = r/r₀
- Energia (masa) struny (całka od r=0 do r=r₀)

 $E=mc^2=2\int k dr (1-v^2/c^2)^{-1/2} = kr_0\pi$

A moment pędu

L=2/ħ c² \int k rv dr (1-v²/c²)^{-1/2} = kr₀² π /2 ħ c

Czyli dla struny istnieje zależność: $J=\alpha'E^2 + const$ Nachylenie $\alpha'=1/(2\pi k\hbar c) - z$ doświadczenia: $\alpha' = 0.93$ GeV⁻² \rightarrow k=0.87 GeV fm ⁻¹

Oszacowanie np. dla protonu: energia układu=masa hadronu, tu ~1 GeV/c², promień 1 fm, stąd gęstość energii k ~ 1 GeV fm⁻¹