Statistical analysis of experimental data Markov Chains

Aleksander Filip Zarnecki ˙

Lecture 13 January 26, 2023

Markov Chains

2 [Markov Chain Monte Carlo](#page-43-0)

3 [Application to parameter fitting](#page-78-0)

Applications (lecture 04)

Described procedure can be used not only to calculate integrals of one-dimensional functions, it is much more general...

How to calculate volume of a given shape?

Standard procedure:

scan all dimensions using dense point Generate random points in the grid and sum cells with centers inside considered parameter space and the volume

Monte Carlo integration:

count points inside the volume

General case

Examples presented considered the special case: input random variables had uniform distribution and "test function" was binary (returning 0 or 1).

In the general case we want to determine an expectation value of a function $h(x)$ of random variable vector x described by $f(x)$ pdf:

$$
\mu_h \equiv \mathbb{E}_f[h(\mathbf{x})] = \int d\mathbf{x} \; h(\mathbf{x}) \; f(\mathbf{x})
$$

Monte Carlo determination of μ_h assumes we can generate random variables according to $f(\mathbf{x})$. We can then calculate:

$$
\mu_{MC} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} h(\mathbf{x}_i)
$$

where ${\mathbf x}_i,\ i=1,\ldots,N$ are random (input) variables generated from $f({\mathbf x})$

Weighted Monte Carlo

General method for generating random points in multi-dimensional space using acceptance–rejection technique can have very low efficiency, if probability distribution function $f(x)$ varies a lot, eg. has sharp peaks.

Assume we know how to generate random numbers from $g(x)$. We can then apply the following procedure:

- **•** generate x_i distributed according to $g(x)$
- accept all generated value \mathbf{x}_i , but consider them with additional weight: $w_i = f(\mathbf{x})/g(\mathbf{x})$

For example, when calculating the expectation value of $h(x)$:

$$
\mu_{MC} \rightarrow \mu_{wMC} = \frac{\sum_i w_i h(\mathbf{x}_i)}{\sum_i w_i}
$$

"unweighted" samples considered previously correspond to $w_i \equiv 1$

Weighted Monte Carlo

When using weighted Monte Carlo "events", number of events has to be replaced by sum of weights:

$$
N \rightarrow N_w = \sum_i w_i
$$

Variance of the sum of weights:

$$
\mathbb{V}(N_w) = \sum_i w_i^2
$$

Statistical power of the weighted Monte Carlo sample is equivalent to:

$$
N_{eq} = \frac{N_w^2}{\mathbb{V}(N_w)} = \frac{(\sum_i w_i)^2}{\sum_i w_i^2}
$$

For Poisson distributed random number $\mathbb{V}(N) = N$

General problem

Presented above was a simple example of a more general problem: how to estimate parameters of the probability distribution function from the results of the experiment (measurements).

In many cases, parameter value can not be directly extracted from the outcome of the measurement.

In the general case, shape of the probability density function for x :

 $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$

depends on a set of pdf parameters:

 $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p)$

so the probability density should be written as:

 $f(\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\lambda})$

Maximum Likelihood Method

The product:

$$
L = \prod_{j=1}^N f(\mathbf{x}^{(j)}; \lambda)
$$

is called a likelihood function.

The most commonly used approach to parameter estimation is the maximum likelihood approach:

as the best estimate of the parameter set λ we choose the parameter values for which the likelihood function has a (global) maximum.

Frequently used is also log-likelihood function

$$
\ell = \ln L = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \ln f(\mathbf{x}^{(j)}; \lambda)
$$

we can look for maximum value of ℓ or minimum of $-2 \ell = -2 \ln l$

Markov Chains

[Markov Chain Monte Carlo](#page-43-0)

[Application to parameter fitting](#page-78-0)

General concept (Bonamente)

Markov Chain is a stochastic process where we consider the sequence of measurements (random variables) $X^{(t)}.$ Measurements at fixed time intervals are a frequent case...

Outcome of the measurement (also called "state" of the chain) has to belong to the defined "state space". It is our sample space...

However, the probability density for different states is not given a'priori! Instead, probability of the subsequent state (measurement at $t + 1$) depends only on the current state of the system:

 $P(X^{(t+1)}) = P(X^{(t+1)}|X^{(t)})$

General concept (Bonamente)

Markov Chain is a stochastic process where we consider the sequence of measurements (random variables) $X^{(t)}.$ Measurements at fixed time intervals are a frequent case...

Outcome of the measurement (also called "state" of the chain) has to belong to the defined "state space". It is our sample space...

However, the probability density for different states is not given a'priori! Instead, probability of the subsequent state (measurement at $t + 1$) depends only on the current state of the system:

$$
P(X^{(t+1)}) = P(X^{(t+1)}|X^{(t)})
$$

Probability can change in time, but dependent only on the current state of the chain, and not on any of its previous history! This "short memory" property is known as the "Markovian property".

Simple example: Ehrenfest chain (Bonamente)

Simple model of diffusion: consider two boxes with a total of N balls.

The state of the system can be defined by a number n of balls which are placed in the first box, $0 \le n \le N$.

The state space of the system has $N + 1$ elements.

Simple example: Ehrenfest chain (Bonamente)

Simple model of diffusion: consider two boxes with a total of N balls.

The state of the system can be defined by a number n of balls which are placed in the first box, $0 \le n \le N$. The state space of the system has $N + 1$ elements.

The Ehrenfest chain is defined by the following procedure. At each step:

- select a ball at random from either box.
- **•** place the selected ball in the other box.

Simple example: Ehrenfest chain (Bonamente)

Simple model of diffusion: consider two boxes with a total of N balls.

The state of the system can be defined by a number n of balls which are placed in the first box, $0 \le n \le N$. The state space of the system has $N + 1$ elements.

The Ehrenfest chain is defined by the following procedure. At each step:

- select a ball at random from either box.
- **•** place the selected ball in the other box.

This chain can be presented in terms of the transition probabilities:

$$
p(n^{(t+1)}) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^{(t)}}{N} & \text{for } n^{(t+1)} = n^{(t)} - 1 \\ \frac{N - n^{(t)}}{N} & n^{(t+1)} = n^{(t)} + 1 \\ 0 & n^{(t+1)} \neq n^{(t)} \pm 1 \end{cases}
$$

Web example **[Piero Paialunga in Towards Data Science](https://towardsdatascience.com/saturday-night-modeling-using-markov-chains-with-python-a29188330a1e)**

- As a student you can go to the bar each Saturday.
- And you need to go back home at some time...

- We can consider the following "chain" of states (shown above):
	- you always start from Home going to Bar 1 or Bar 2.
	- after each drink in Bar 1 you have three choices: go Back Home, go to Bar 2 and order another drink in Bar 1.
	- if you are already in Bar 2, you have only two choices after each round: go Back Home or order another drink (not shown).
	- o once you get Back Home, you stay there.

Web example **[Piero Paialunga in Towards Data Science](https://towardsdatascience.com/saturday-night-modeling-using-markov-chains-with-python-a29188330a1e)**

Even if all transition probabilities are known, it is not always possible to obtain statistical properties of the distribution directly...

But one can simulate Markov Chain state sequence many times... Probability of visiting bars:

Web example **Web example** [Piero Paialunga in Towards Data Science](https://towardsdatascience.com/saturday-night-modeling-using-markov-chains-with-python-a29188330a1e)

Probability density for the number of drinks:

We can not only estimate the expected number of drinks (which we could also do from the known probabilities), but also the distribution...

The chain in the web example always ended in the single 'Back Home' state. Not very interesting...

Consider an atom irradiated with laser light tuned to the excitation energy:

- when in ground state, atom has certain probability (per time unit) to get excited
- when in the excited state, atom can radiate photon and go back to the ground state or, with lower probability, radiate softer photon and go to intermediate meta-stable state.
- when in the meta-stable state, probability of radiation (per unit of time) is very low.

Example simulation results starting from ground state, 1000 time steps:

Markov chain simulation example

Fast oscillations between ground and excited state, longer stays in meta-stable...

Example simulation results starting from ground state, 10000 time steps:

System "forgets" about the initial state after few time steps. We can get distributions for different parameters...

Example simulation results starting from ground state, 10000 time steps: After increasing meta-stable state lifetime:

System "forgets" about the initial state after few time steps. We can get distributions for different parameters...

Assume that the state space consists of N states: $s_{(1)},\ldots,s_{(N)}.$ Then, for each state $s_{(i)}$ on can define a set of on-step transition probabilities:

$$
p_{ij} = p(X^{(t+1)} = s_{(j)} | X^{(t)} = s_{(i)})
$$

We usually require that these probabilities are time-independent (such chain is called time-homogeneous).

Assume that the state space consists of N states: $s_{(1)},\ldots,s_{(N)}.$ Then, for each state $s_{(i)}$ on can define a set of on-step transition probabilities:

$$
p_{ij} = p(X^{(t+1)} = s_{(j)}|X^{(t)} = s_{(i)})
$$

We usually require that these probabilities are time-independent (such chain is called time-homogeneous).

If we now describe state of the system by a N -component vector:

$$
(s_{(i)})_j = \delta_{ij}
$$
 e.g. $s_{(1)} = (1, 0, 0, ..., 0)$

Assume that the state space consists of N states: $s_{(1)},\ldots,s_{(N)}.$ Then, for each state $s_{(i)}$ on can define a set of on-step transition probabilities:

$$
p_{ij} = p(X^{(t+1)} = s_{(j)}|X^{(t)} = s_{(i)})
$$

We usually require that these probabilities are time-independent (such chain is called time-homogeneous).

If we now describe state of the system by a N -component vector:

$$
(s_{(i)})_j = \delta_{ij}
$$
 e.g. $s_{(2)} = (0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$

Assume that the state space consists of N states: $s_{(1)},\ldots,s_{(N)}.$ Then, for each state $s_{(i)}$ on can define a set of on-step transition probabilities:

$$
p_{ij} = p(X^{(t+1)} = s_{(j)}|X^{(t)} = s_{(i)})
$$

We usually require that these probabilities are time-independent (such chain is called time-homogeneous).

If we now describe state of the system by a N -component vector:

$$
(s_{(i)})_j = \delta_{ij}
$$
 e.g. $s_{(M)} = (0, 0, 0, ..., 1)$

Assume that the state space consists of N states: $s_{(1)},\ldots,s_{(N)}.$ Then, for each state $s_{(i)}$ on can define a set of on-step transition probabilities:

$$
p_{ij} = p(X^{(t+1)} = s_{(j)} | X^{(t)} = s_{(i)})
$$

We usually require that these probabilities are time-independent (such chain is called time-homogeneous).

If we now describe state of the system by a N -component vector:

$$
(s_{(i)})_j = \delta_{ij}
$$
 e.g. $s_{(M)} = (0, 0, 0, ..., 1)$

then probabilities for different states to proceed after state $s_{(i)}$ can be written as:

$$
p = s_{(i)} \cdot \mathbb{T} \qquad \text{where} \quad \mathbb{T} = (p_{ij})
$$

is the transition matrix

Probabilities of states after n time steps are then given by:

p

$$
\rho^{(n)} = s_{(i)} \cdot \mathbb{T}^n
$$

Probabilities of states after n time steps are then given by:

$$
p^{(n)} = s_{(i)} \cdot \mathbb{T}^n
$$

Let u_k denote the probability that the system returns to the initial state $\boldsymbol{s}_{(i)}$ in exactly k time steps. We can define the total probability for returning to the initial state:

$$
u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k
$$

Probabilities of states after n time steps are then given by:

$$
p^{(n)} = s_{(i)} \cdot \mathbb{T}^n
$$

Let u_k denote the probability that the system returns to the initial state $\boldsymbol{s}_{(i)}$ in exactly k time steps. We can define the total probability for returning to the initial state:

$$
u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k
$$

States can be classified according to this probability:

if $u=1$ state $s_{(i)}$ is recurrent,

if $u < 1$ state $s_{(i)}$ is transient.

If state is recurrent, it will certainly be observed again (even, if we have to wait very long), and the system will return to this state infinitely often.

State $s_{(j)}$ is accessible from the initial state $s_{(i)}.$ if there is a non-zero probability of reaching this state from the initial state in finite number of time steps:

$$
\left(p^{(m)}\right)_j = \left(s_{(i)} \cdot \mathbb{T}^m\right)_j > 0
$$

for some natural number m.

$$
\left(p^{(m)}\right)_j = \left(s_{(i)} \cdot \mathbb{T}^m\right)_j > 0
$$

for some natural number m.

If a state $s_{(j)}$ is accessible from a recurrent state $s_{(i)}$, then $s_{(j)}$ is also recurrent, and $s_{(i)}$ is accessible from $s_{(j)}$.

Chain properties and the contract of the con

$$
\left(p^{(m)}\right)_j = \left(s_{(i)} \cdot \mathbb{T}^m\right)_j > 0
$$

for some natural number m.

If a state $s_{(j)}$ is accessible from a recurrent state $s_{(i)}$, then $s_{(j)}$ is also recurrent, and $s_{(i)}$ is accessible from $s_{(j)}$.

If a Markov chain has a finite number of states and each state is accessible from any other state, then all states are recurrent.

A chain is said to be irreducible if all states are accessible from others. Possible states of reducible Markov Chain can be divided into two or more classes, which do not communicate with each other.

A chain is said to be irreducible if all states are accessible from others. Possible states of reducible Markov Chain can be divided into two or more classes, which do not communicate with each other.

A state $s_{(i)}$ is said to be periodic with period $\,\overline{\!T}\,$ if system can return to this state only at times t divisible by T :

$$
\left(p^{(t)}\right)_j = \begin{cases} p > 0 & \text{for } t\%T = 0 \\ 0 & t\%T! = 0 \end{cases}
$$

All states of irreducible chain share the same period.

A chain is said to be irreducible if all states are accessible from others. Possible states of reducible Markov Chain can be divided into two or more classes, which do not communicate with each other.

A state $s_{(i)}$ is said to be periodic with period $\,\overline{\!T}\,$ if system can return to this state only at times t divisible by T :

$$
\left(p^{(t)}\right)_j = \begin{cases} p > 0 & \text{for} \quad t\%T = 0 \\ 0 & t\%T! = 0 \end{cases}
$$

All states of irreducible chain share the same period.

A chain is said to be aperiodic if return to a given state can occur at any time (corresponding to $T = 1$ in definition above).

Stationary distribution

In most cases, we do not care about the initial system state, we want to calculate the set of probabilities for a system after a large number n of steps:

$$
p^{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} p^{(n)}
$$

This probabilities are called limiting probabilities.

Stationary distribution

In most cases, we do not care about the initial system state, we want to calculate the set of probabilities for a system after a large number n of steps:

$$
p^{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} p^{(n)}
$$

This probabilities are called limiting probabilities.

For a irreducible aperiodic Markov Chain with recurrent states, limiting probabilities correspond to the stationary distribution:

$$
\pi = \pi \cdot \mathbb{T}
$$

and that this distribution is unique.

Regardless of the starting point of the chain, the same stationary distribution will eventually be reached.

Stationary distribution

Evolution of state probabilities for system starting at 'Ground' state at $t = 0$

Stationary state reached for $t \sim 1000$ Note logarithmic time scale!

Stationary distribution this is what we look for in most cases

There are three possible approaches to finding a stationary solution:

o by running multiple Markov Chain instances and looking at final state distribution, and the simple but time consuming

Stationary distribution this is what we look for in most cases

There are three possible approaches to finding a stationary solution:

- **•** by running multiple Markov Chain instances and looking at final state distribution, and the simple but time consuming
- by taking arbitrary initial state probability vector and applying the transfer matrix many times,

Stationary distribution this is what we look for in most cases

There are three possible approaches to finding a stationary solution:

- **•** by running multiple Markov Chain instances and looking at final state distribution, and the simple but time consuming
- by taking arbitrary initial state probability vector and applying the transfer matrix many times,
- by looking for analytic solution to the problem:

Stationary distribution [Herman Scheepers on Towards Data Science](https://towardsdatascience.com/markov-chain-analysis-and-simulation-using-python-4507cee0b06e)

In the analytic approach the problem can be presented as a set of equations:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\mathbb{T}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbb{I} \\
1 & \cdots & 1\n\end{pmatrix} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix}\n0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
1\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

which are, however, not independent (the problem is over-constrained).

Stationary distribution [Herman Scheepers on Towards Data Science](https://towardsdatascience.com/markov-chain-analysis-and-simulation-using-python-4507cee0b06e)

In the analytic approach the problem can be presented as a set of equations:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\mathbb{T}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbb{I} \\
1 & \cdots & 1\n\end{pmatrix} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix}\n0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
1\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

which are, however, not independent (the problem is over-constrained).

The simple solution is to multiply both sides by \mathbb{A}^{\intercal} :

 $A^{\dagger}A \cdot \pi = A^{\dagger}b$

which can now be solved with standard linear algebra procedures...

Markov Chains

[Application to parameter fitting](#page-78-0)

We introduced Monte Carlo as an alternative method for integrating an arbitrary function.

Arbitrary parameter space can be considered.

General concept (Katzgraber, arXiv:0905.1629)

b

Rejection technique

Generate uniformly distributed random points and select those in the considered parameter space...

We introduced Monte Carlo as an alternative method for integrating an arbitrary function.

Arbitrary parameter space can be considered.

General concept (Katzgraber, arXiv:0905.1629)

b

Rejection technique

Generate uniformly distributed random points and select those in the considered parameter space...

Efficiency can be low...

Generation of random points from the surface considered in lecture 04

Generation of random points from the surface considered in lecture 04

 $N=1$ 000

Generation of random points from the surface considered in lecture 04

 $N=10$ 000

Generation of random points from the surface considered in lecture 04

 $N=100 000$

Generation of random points from the surface considered in lecture 04

$N=1,000,000$ generated in 2 093 551 tries

We do not want to reject events!

Random move procedure: subsequent points generated by random variations of previous ones

a

General concept (Katzgraber, arXiv:0905.1629)

Markov Chain Monte Carlo procedure

b

If the new point is outside the considered parameter space, do not reject it, but take the last point again (!)

Can this procedure work ?

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1$

 $N=100$

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1$

$N=1$ 000 Fluctuations are larger, as many points "duplicated"

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1$

 $N=10$ 000

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1$

 $N=100 000$

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1$

$N=1$ 000 000 But "duplicates" not relevant for $N \to \infty$

We can reduce number of "duplicates" by reducing step: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.2$

$N=100$ Significant bias, depending on the starting point...

We can reduce number of "duplicates" by reducing step: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.2$

 $N=1$ 000

We can reduce number of "duplicates" by reducing step: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.2$

 $N=10$ 000

We can reduce number of "duplicates" by reducing step: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.2$

$N=100000$ Distribution still not uniform...

We can reduce number of "duplicates" by reducing step: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.2$

$N=1 000 000$ But gets uniform for $N \to \infty$

More general case

Gaussian probability distribution in the considered parameter space

 $N=1$ 000

More general case

Gaussian probability distribution in the considered parameter space

 $N=10$ 000

More general case

Gaussian probability distribution in the considered parameter space

 $N=100000$ generated in 2 335 937 tries, 4.3% efficiency

Metropolis–Hastings algorithm (Givens)

Consider chain described by on-step transition probability $p(X^{(t+1)}|X^{(t)})$

- To generate points distributed according to $f(X)$, for each step t:
	- generate candidate point X^\star from $p(X^\star|X^{(t)})$
	- **•** compute the Metropolis–Hastings ratio:

$$
R = \frac{f(X^*) \ p(X^{(t)} | X^*)}{f(X^{(t)}) \ p(X^* | X^{(t)})}
$$

 \bullet for the next step take

 $X^{(t+1)}$ = $\left\{\right.$ X^* with probability $p^* = \min\{R, 1\}$ $X^{(t)}$ otherwise. with probability $1-p^{\star}$

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1$

 $N=1000$ Large step \Rightarrow large fluctuations

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1$

 $N=10000$ Large step \Rightarrow large fluctuations

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1$

$N=100 000$ But converges to the expected distribution for large N

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1$

$N=1$ 000 000 But converges to the expected distribution for large N

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.05$

 $N=1000$ Small step \Rightarrow large bias

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.05$

$N=10000$ Small step \Rightarrow large bias

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.05$

$N=100 000$ But converges to the expected distribution for large N

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.05$

$N=1$ 000 000 But converges to the expected distribution for large N

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.2$

$N=1$ 000 Optimal step $\Rightarrow \sim$ Poisson fluctuations, minimum bias

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.2$

$N=10$ 000 Optimal step $\Rightarrow \sim$ Poisson fluctuations, minimum bias

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.2$

N=100 000 Converges fast to the expected distribution

Using maximum step size: $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.2$

N=1 000 000 No rejection! Much larger samples with the same CPU

Markov Chains

[Markov Chain Monte Carlo](#page-43-0)

3 [Application to parameter fitting](#page-78-0)

Bayesian approach (lecture 01)

Bayes theorem can be used to generalize the concept of probability. In particular, one can consider "probability" of given hypothesis H (theoretical model or model parameter, eg. Hubble constant) when taking into known outcome D (data) of the experiment

$$
P(H|D) = \frac{P(D|H)}{P(D)} \cdot P(H)
$$

There are two problems with this approach:

- \bullet H can not be considered an event, sampling space can not be defined (no experiment to repeat)
- we need to make a subjective assumption about the "prior" $P(H)$ describing our initial belief in hypothesis H

For these reasons I rather use term "degree of belief" for the result of the Bayesian procedure applied to non random events

Bayesian approach

The likelihood function:

$$
L(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{j=1}^N f(\mathbf{x}^{(j)};\boldsymbol{\lambda})
$$

NI

describes the probability of given set of measurement results x.

Bayesian approach

The likelihood function:

$$
L(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{j=1}^N f(\mathbf{x}^{(j)};\boldsymbol{\lambda})
$$

N

describes the probability of given set of measurement results x.

However, in the bayesian approach we can use it to construct "probability distribution" for the model parameters λ :

 $f(\lambda) \sim L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) \cdot p(\lambda)$

where $p(\lambda)$ is the prior distribution for parameters λ .

If we know $f(\lambda)$, we can construct Markov Chain in λ space.

Bayesian approach

The likelihood function:

$$
L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^N f(\mathbf{x}^{(j)}; \lambda)
$$

N

describes the probability of given set of measurement results x.

However, in the bayesian approach we can use it to construct "probability distribution" for the model parameters λ :

 $f(\lambda) \sim L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) \cdot p(\lambda)$

where $p(\lambda)$ is the prior distribution for parameters λ .

If we know $f(\lambda)$, we can construct Markov Chain in λ space.

With Metropolis–Hastings algorithm, starting from arbitrary $\lambda^{(0)}$ point, the chain should converge to $f(\lambda)$ distribution for $N \to \infty$.

1000 events were collected in the muon lifetime measurement. Distribution can be described by the formula:

$$
N(t) = \frac{N_{\text{sig}}}{\tau} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} + N_{\text{bg}}
$$

with flat background level known to be $N_{bg} = 5 \pm \Delta/2$ (for $\Delta t = 0.5 \,\mu s$)

Example (Homework 10)

Example (Homework 10)

Histogram can be fitted using iterative χ^2 minimization procedure

Parameter evolution in the Markov Chain

Stable distribution obtained already after about 100 iterations

Parameter evolution in the Markov Chain

Stable distribution obtained already after about 100 iterations

Parameter distributions after $N = 10000$ iterations (skipping first 100)

Parameter distributions after $N = 100000$ iterations (skipping first 1000)

Parameter distributions after $N = 100,000$ iterations (skipping first 1000) Including background level constraint

Nominal solution from Markov Chain (mean values of parameters)

Without background constraint

But we can also get the probability distribution of the fit results:

Last 100 chain elements

But we can also get the probability distribution of the fit results:

But we can also get the probability distribution of the fit results:

After adding background constraint

Markov Chain Monte Carlo does not work "out of the box"

It converges fast with the proper choice of parameter variation steps

Markov Chain Monte Carlo does not work "out of the box"

Convergence can be very slow, if parameter steps too small...

Markov Chain Monte Carlo does not work "out of the box"

Convergence can be very slow, if parameter steps too small...

Markov Chain Monte Carlo does not work "out of the box"

Fluctuations significantly increased, if steps are too large...

Final remarks

Markov Chains are powerful tools to solve many problems that are difficult to approach "directly", using other numerical techniques

However, it is crucial to make sure they converge, before using their output for the analysis. Algorithm tuning may be required...

Final remarks

Markov Chains are powerful tools to solve many problems that are difficult to approach "directly", using other numerical techniques

However, it is crucial to make sure they converge, before using their output for the analysis. Algorithm tuning may be required...

Only the simplest approach was presented, many more advanced algorithms exist for more effective step generation Probability $p(X^{(t+1)}|X^{(t)})$ does not need to be uniform!

Final remarks

Markov Chains are powerful tools to solve many problems that are difficult to approach "directly", using other numerical techniques

However, it is crucial to make sure they converge, before using their output for the analysis. Algorithm tuning may be required...

Only the simplest approach was presented, many more advanced algorithms exist for more effective step generation Probability $p(X^{(t+1)}|X^{(t)})$ does not need to be uniform!

Events generated with Markov Chain MC are not independent! One should not use subsequent events together in the analysis (eg. for background estimates)