CLIC sensitivity to dark matter production with light mediator exchange

Jan Kalinowski^a, Wojciech Kotlarski^b, Krzysztof Mekala^a, Pawel Sopicki^a, Aleksander Filip Zarnecki^a

FACULTY OF PHYSICS

^a Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw ^b Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, TU Dresden

Research supported by



NATIONAL SCIENCE CENTRE

CLICdp WG Analysis Meeting

February 8, 2021

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

DM production with light mediator





2 Simulating mono-photon events







Dark Matter production

The mono-photon signature is considered to be the most general way to look for DM particle production in future $\rm e^+e^-$ colliders.



DM can be pair produced in the $\rm e^+e^-$ collisions via exchange of a new mediator particle, which couples to both electrons (SM) and DM states

This process can be detected if additional hard photon radiation from the initial state is observed in the detector...



New analysis approach

Most of the studies performed so far focused on heavy mediator exchange (EFT limit) and coupling values O(1) \Rightarrow extracted were limits on DM or mediator masses

In our study:

- focus on light mediator exchange (DM even lighter)
- consider very small mediator coupling to SM, $\Gamma_{SM} \ll \Gamma_{tot}$



From arXiv:1910.11775 ESPP Physics Briefing Book

"Experimental-like" approach \Rightarrow focus on cross section limits



New analysis approach

Most of the studies performed so far focused on heavy mediator exchange (EFT limit) and coupling values O(1) \Rightarrow extracted were limits on DM or mediator masses

In our study:

- focus on light mediator exchange (DM even lighter)
- consider very small mediator coupling to SM, $\Gamma_{SM} \ll \Gamma_{tot}$



ILD study: arXiv:2001.03011 Phys. Rev. D 101, 075053 (2020)

"Experimental-like" approach \Rightarrow focus on cross section limits



Dedicated simulation procedure with Whizard

Two variables, calculated separately for each emitted photon:

$$egin{array}{rcl} q_- &=& \sqrt{4E_0E_\gamma}\cdot\sinrac{ heta_\gamma}{2}\;, \ q_+ &=& \sqrt{4E_0E_\gamma}\cdot\cosrac{ heta_\gamma}{2}\;, \end{array}$$

are used to separate "soft ISR" emission region from the region described by ME calculations.



All "detectable" photons are simulated with Matrix Elements



Validation of the procedure

 $\rm WHIZARD$ predictions were compared to the results from the KKMC code for $e^+e^-\to\nu\bar\nu+N\gamma$



 \Rightarrow very good agreement observed (both for shape and normalisation)

For more details:

J. Kalinowski et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 634, arXiv:2004.14486

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

DM production with light mediator

÷**∦F**₩

Detector simulation

CLICdet model for Delphes modified to include forward calorimeters



Included in the official Delphes repository as delphes_card_CLICdet_Stage3_fcal.tcl

Event selection

On generator level:

- 1, 2 or 3 ME photons nonradiative events for signal only (for normalisation)
- all ME photons with $q_{\pm} > 1 \text{ GeV}$ & $E^{\gamma} > 1 \text{ GeV}$ rejected are events with $q_{\pm} > 1 \text{ GeV}$ & $E^{\gamma} > 1 \text{ GeV}$ for any of the ISR photons
- at least one ME photon with $p_T^{\gamma} > 5 \ GeV \& 7^{\circ} < \theta^{\gamma} < 173^{\circ}$

On detector simulation level:

- single photon with $p_T^{\gamma} > 10 \; GeV \; \& \; |\eta^{\gamma}| < 2.6$
- no other activity in the detector other reconstructed objects
 - no electrons
 - no LumiCal photons
 - no BeamCal photons
 - no jets (*p_T* > 20 *GeV*)





Background distributions

for CLIC running at 3 TeV

Two SM backgrounds considered: Bhabha scattering and (radiative) neutrino pair production





Background distributions

for CLIC running at $3\,\text{TeV}$

For mono-photon events, two variables fully describe event kinematics \Rightarrow use 2D distribution of $(\log_{10} E_{\gamma}, \eta)$ to constrain DM production



Simplified model covering most popular scenarios of DM pair-production

Possible DM candidates:

- real or complex scalar
- Majorana or Dirac fermion
- real vector

Possible mediators:

- scalar
- pseudo-scalar
- vector
- axial-vector

(mixed couplings, eg. V-A or V+A, also possible)

Cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi \chi$ for $M_{\chi} = 50 \text{ GeV}$ and $M_{\chi} = 300 \text{ GeV}$







Simplified model covering most popular scenarios of DM pair-production

Possible DM candidates:

- real or complex scalar
- Majorana or Dirac fermion
- real vector

Possible mediators:

- scalar
- pseudo-scalar
- vector
- axial-vector

(mixed couplings, eg. V-A or V+A, also possible)

Cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi \chi$ at 3 TeV CLIC for $M_{\chi} = 50 \text{ GeV}$





Simplified model covering most popular scenarios of DM pair-production

Possible DM candidates:

- real or complex scalar
- Majorana or Dirac fermion
- real vector

Possible mediators:

- scalar
- pseudo-scalar
- vector
- axial-vector

(mixed couplings, eg. V-A or V+A, also possible)

Cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi \chi$ at 500 GeV ILC for $M_{\gamma} = 50 \ GeV$







Tagging efficiency

Detectable hard photon emitted only in a fraction of signal event

 $\sigma\left(e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \chi \; \chi \; \gamma_{\rm tag}\right) \; = \; f_{\rm mono-photon} \cdot \sigma\left(e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \chi \; \chi \; (\gamma) \; \right)$

ILC @ 500 GeV

```
CLIC @ 3 TeV
```







for CLIC at 3 TeV

For fermion DM with $M_{\chi}=50\,\text{GeV}$ and vector mediator with $\Gamma/M=0.03$





for CLIC at 3 TeV

For fermion DM with $M_{\chi}=50\,\text{GeV}$ and vector mediator with $\Gamma/M=0.03$





for CLIC at 3 TeV

For fermion DM with $M_{\chi}=50\,\text{GeV}$ and vector mediator with $\Gamma/M=0.03$





for CLIC at 3 TeV

For fermion DM with $M_\chi = 50\,\text{GeV}$ and vector mediator with $\Gamma/M = 0.03$





for CLIC at 3 TeV

For fermion DM with $M_\chi = 50\,\text{GeV}$ and vector mediator with $\Gamma/M = 0.03$





10⁻¹

Signal distributions

for CLIC at 3 TeV

For fermion DM with $M_{\chi}=50\,\text{GeV}$ and vector mediator with $\Gamma/M=0.03$

Mediator mass: $M_Y = 12000 \text{ GeV}$ Signal for negative polarisation $f^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Signal for positive polarisation 10^{-1}





Cross section limits for radiative events at CLIC (tagged photon)

Vector mediator with $\Gamma/m = 3\%$

Combined limits for vector mediator



Limits calculated with CL_s approach using RooFit v3.60



Cross section limits for radiative events at CLIC (tagged photon)

Vector mediator with $\Gamma/m = 3\%$

Combined limits for vector mediator



Limits calculated with CL_s approach using RooFit v3.60



Cross section limits for radiative events at CLIC (tagged photon)

Vector mediator with $\Gamma/m = 3\%$

Combined limits for $\Gamma/m = 3\%$



Limits calculated with CL_s approach using RooFit v3.60



Cross section limits for total DM production cross section at CLIC

Vector mediator with $\Gamma/m = 3\%$

Combined limits for vector mediator



4000 fb⁻¹ for $-80\% e^-$ polarisation + 1000 fb⁻¹ for $+80\% e^-$ polarisation



Cross section limits

for total DM production cross section at ILC

Vector mediator with $\Gamma/m = 3\%$

Combined limits for vector mediator



1600 fb⁻¹ for both -80%/+30% and +80%/-30% e^{-}/e^{+} polarisation



Coupling limits at CLIC

Combined coupling limits for assumed mass and width of the mediator.





Almost uniform sensitivity to g_{eeY} up to kinematic limit.



Coupling limits at CLIC

Combined coupling limits for assumed mass and width of the mediator.



Model comparison



Coupling limits almost independent on the assumed coupling structure!



Coupling limits at ILC

Combined coupling limits for assumed mass and width of the mediator.

For vector mediator





SM Branching Ratio limits

Branching Ratio for mediator decay to $\rm e^+e^-$ corresponding to the extracted cross section and coupling limits

CLIC @ 3TeV

ILC @ 500 GeV



A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)





Effective mass scale limits

$$\Lambda^2 = \frac{\mathsf{M}_Y^2}{|\mathsf{g}_{eeY}\mathsf{g}_{\chi\chi Y}|}$$

For $M_Y \gg \sqrt{s}$, limits on the effective mass scale of new interactions no longer depend on the assumed mediator mass or width

 \Rightarrow EFT approximation can be used

CLIC @ 3TeV

ILC @ 500 GeV





Summary

Analysis of mono-photon events at 3 TeV sensitive to wide range of DM pair-production scenarios

- $\mathcal{O}(1\,{
 m fb})$ limits on the radiative production cross section $e^+e^- o \chi\chi\gamma^{
 m tag}$
- $\mathcal{O}(10 \text{ fb})$ limits on the DM pair-production

 $e^+e^-
ightarrow \chi \chi (\gamma)$

except for the resonance region $M_Y \sim \sqrt{s}$

- $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ limits on the mediator coupling to electrons up to the kinematic limit $M_Y \leq \sqrt{s}$
- mono-photon analysis limits more stringent than the limits expected from direct resonance search in SM decay channels
- for heavy mediators, limits from EFT analysis can be reporoduced



Plans

For the next weeks:

- verify stability of the results: influence of cuts, binning etc.
- include systematic uncertainties
- prepare results for LaThuile'2021 and LCWS'2021

Future options:

- compare more DM production scenarios
- estimate discovery range
- consider mediator mass and width determination
- estimate limits expected from Bhabha measurement

Conclusions

New framework for mono-photon analysis developed

- different scenarios possible with simplified DM model
- focus on light mediator exchange
- $\bullet\,$ consider very small mediator coupling to SM, $\Gamma_{SM}\ll\Gamma_{tot}$

Mono-photon analysis at 3 TeV CLIC sensitive to light mediators for SM coupling values down to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$:

- \bullet comparable sensitivity of negative and positive polarisation samples $4000~{\rm fb^{-1}}$ and $1000~{\rm fb^{-1}}$, respectively
- limits largely independent on the mediator type/coupling
- limits stronger than the estimated sensitivity from the direct resonance search



Thank you!



Dark matter particles, X_i , couple to the SM particles via an mediator, Y_j .

Each simplified scenario is characterized by one dark matter candidate and one mediator from the set listed below:

	particle	mass	spin	charge	self-conjugate	type
	X _R	m_{X_R}	0	0	yes	real scalar
	X _C	m _{Xc}	0	0	no	complex scalar
$\left \sum_{i} \right $	X _M	m_{X_M}	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	yes	Majorana fermion
	X_D	m_{X_D}	$\frac{\overline{1}}{2}$	0	no	Dirac fermion
	X_V	m_{X_V}	Ī	0	yes	real vector
or	Y_R	m _{Y_R}	0	0	yes	real scalar
diat	Y_V	m _{Yc}	1	0	yes	real vector
ше	Τ _C	m _{Tc}	0	1	no	charged scalar



Lagrangian describing mediator coupling to electrons given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{eeY} \
i \in (g_{eY_R}^1 + i\gamma^5 g_{eY_R}^5) eY_R + \bar{e}\gamma_\mu (g_{eY_V}^1 + \gamma^5 g_{eY_V}^5) eY_V^\mu$$

The interaction of mediators with dark matter is described by

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{XXY} & \ni \quad g_{X_RY_R} X_R^2 Y_R + i g_{X_CY_V} (X_C^*(\partial_\mu X_C) - (\partial_\mu X_C^*) X_C) Y_V^\mu + \\ & \quad \bar{X}_D (g_{X_DY_R}^1 + i \gamma^5 g_{X_DY_R}^5) X_D Y_R + \bar{X}_D \gamma_\mu (g_{X_DY_V}^1 + \gamma^5 g_{X_DY_V}) X_D Y_V^\mu \\ & \quad \bar{X}_M (g_{X_MY_R}^1 + i \gamma^5 g_{X_MY_R}^5) X_M Y_R + g_{X_MY_V}^5 \bar{\psi}_M \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 \psi_M Y_V^\mu \end{aligned}$

Backup slides



ILC vs CLIC comparison of simulation and analysis setup

	ILCgen	CLICdet				
Detector acceptance (Delphes model)						
tracking	$ \eta < 3$	$ \eta < 2.54$				
ECAL	$ \eta <$ 3	$ \eta <$ 3				
LumiCal	$ 3 < \eta < 4$	$3 < \eta < 4$				
BeamCal	$ 4< \eta <5.8$	$4 < \eta < 5.3$				
Generator level cuts						
p_T^{γ} min.	2 GeV	5 GeV				
Θ^{γ} min.	5°	7°				
Detector level cuts						
p_T^{γ} min.	3 GeV	10 GeV				
$ \eta^{\gamma} $ max.	2.8	2.6				
	(7°)	(8.5°)				



ISR rejection efficiency

Fraction of events generated by WHIZARD removed by ISR rejection procedure (ISR photons emitted in the phase-space region covered by ME)

ILC @ 500 GeV

CLIC @ 3 TeV





Cross section limits for radiative events at 3 TeV CLIC

Vector mediator with $\Gamma/m = 1\%$

