Fizyka kwarku t w przyszłych zderzaczach e^+e^-

Aleksander Filip Żarnecki Wydział Fizyki Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego



XLIII Zjazd Fizyków Polskich, Kielce 2015 Sesja równoległa: W poszukiwaniu fizyki poza Modelem Standardowym

Top physics at future e^+e^- colliders

1 / 30

Outline



2 Future Colliders and Experiments

3 Top measurements

- Mass and width
- Yukawa coupling
- Electroweak couplings
- Rare decays

4 Conclusions









Top quark

- the heaviest known elementary particle
- Yukawa coupling to Higgs boson y_t ~ 1
 ⇒ key to understanding of EWSB

Motivation





Top quark

- the heaviest known elementary particle
- Yukawa coupling to Higgs boson $y_t \sim 1$ \Rightarrow key to understanding of EWSB
- decays before hadronizing: the only "naked" quark
 ⇒ test ground for QCD

Motivation





Top quark

- the heaviest known elementary particle
- Yukawa coupling to Higgs boson $y_t \sim 1$ \Rightarrow key to understanding of EWSB
- decays before hadronizing: the only "naked" quark
 ⇒ test ground for QCD
- large loop contributions to many precision measurements
- sensitive to many BSM scenarios
 ⇒ a window to "new physics"

Motivation



Precise determination of the top properties crucial for Standard Model verification and indirect "new physics" searches



e.g. uncertainty on the SM stability conditions dominated by top mass

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

Linear Colliders





Technical Design (TDR) completed in 2013

- 500 GeV baseline
 - 1 TeV upgrade possible
- e^- and e^+ polarization

Linear Colliders





Technical Design (TDR) completed in 2013

- 500 GeV baseline
 - 1 TeV upgrade possible
- e^- and e^+ polarization



Conceptual design in 2012 Ongoing R&D towards TDR

- energy 380 GeV 3 TeV
- e⁻ polarization

Circular Colliders





FCC-ee @ CERN

- 80-100 km ring
- focus on 250 GeV
 ⇒ Higgs factory
- 350 GeV possible
- no polarization

Circular Colliders





FCC-ee @ CERN

- 80-100 km ring
- focus on 250 GeV
 ⇒ Higgs factory
- 350 GeV possible
- no polarization

CEPC @ China

- 50 km ring
- up to 240 GeV
 - \Rightarrow Higgs factory

 $t\bar{t}$ threshold not reachable



A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)



Detector Requirements

Jet reconstruction and jet energy measurement based on "Particle Flow" concept

High detector granularity \Rightarrow reconstruction of single particles









Detector Requirements

Jet reconstruction and jet energy measurement based on "Particle Flow" concept

- High detector granularity \Rightarrow reconstruction of single particles
- Excellent momentum measurement \Rightarrow best possible jet energy estimate









Detector Requirements

Jet reconstruction and jet energy measurement based on "Particle Flow" concept

High detector granularity \Rightarrow reconstruction of single particles

Excellent momentum measurement \Rightarrow best possible jet energy estimate

High precision vertex detector ⇒ very efficient flavour tagging



 $e^+e^-
ightarrow tar{t}
ightarrow 6j$





Detector Requirements

Jet reconstruction and jet energy measurement based on "Particle Flow" concept

High detector granularity \Rightarrow reconstruction of single particles

Excellent momentum measurement \Rightarrow best possible jet energy estimate

High precision vertex detector \Rightarrow very efficient flavour tagging

Hermecity

 \Rightarrow missing energy measurement









Detector Requirements

- Track momentum resolution: $\sigma_{1/p} < 5 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$
- Impact parameter resolution: $\sigma_d < 5\mu m \oplus 10\mu m \frac{1 \text{ GeV}}{p \sin^{3/2} \Theta}$
- Energy resolution: $\sigma_E/E = 3 4\%$
- Hermecity: $\Theta_{min} = 5 \text{ mrad}$

Three detailed LC detector concepts:



Running scenarios



H-20 scenario for ILC

Initial stage

- $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ with 500 fb⁻¹ in 3.7 years
- $\sqrt{s} = 350 \text{ GeV}$ with 200 fb⁻¹ in 1.3 years
- $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ with 500 fb⁻¹ in 3.1 years



H-20 scenario for ILC

Initial stage

- $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ with 500 fb⁻¹ in 3.7 years
- $\sqrt{s} = 350 \text{ GeV}$ with 200 fb⁻¹ in 1.3 years
- $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ with 500 fb⁻¹ in 3.1 years

Additional 3'500 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV and 1'500 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 250$ GeV possible after luminosity upgrade (in about 11 years)



H-20 scenario for ILC

Initial stage

- $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ with 500 fb⁻¹ in 3.7 years
- $\sqrt{s} = 350 \text{ GeV}$ with 200 fb⁻¹ in 1.3 years
- $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ with 500 fb⁻¹ in 3.1 years

Additional 3'500 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV and 1'500 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 250$ GeV possible after luminosity upgrade (in about 11 years)

CLIC runnning scenario

Three construction stages:

- $\sqrt{s} = 380 \text{ GeV}$ with 500 fb⁻¹ initial stage selected as an optimal choice for precision Higgs and top physics
- $\sqrt{s} = 1.4$ TeV with 1500 fb $^{-1}$
- $\sqrt{s}=3~{\rm TeV}$ with 2000 ${\rm fb}^{-1}$



Top pair production cross section around threshold Resonance-like structure corresponding to $t\bar{t}$ bound state Very sensitive to top properties and model parameters:





Top pair production cross section around threshold Resonance-like structure corresponding to $t\bar{t}$ bound state Very sensitive to top properties and model parameters:





Top pair production cross section around threshold Resonance-like structure corresponding to $t\bar{t}$ bound state Very sensitive to top properties and model parameters:





Significant cross section smearing due to luminosity spectra and ISR





Precision top mass measurement possible already with 100 fb $^{-1}$

- statistical uncertainty 10–30 MeV
- ullet experimental systematics \sim 30 MeV (dominated by beam energy)
- ullet theoretical uncertainties: 20 MeV possible (currently ${\sim}100$ MeV)



 y_t can be extracted with statistical uncertainty ~6% (100 fb⁻¹), if α_s constrained from other measurements. Model dependent!

Higher energies

Can be extracted from the measurement of $e^+e^-
ightarrow t ar{t} H$ events



Model independent!



 y_t can be extracted with statistical uncertainty $\sim 6\%$ (100 fb $^{-1}$),

if $\alpha_{\rm s}$ constrained from other measurements. Model dependent!

Higher energies

Can be extracted from the measurement of $e^+e^-
ightarrow t ar{t} H$ events



Very difficult measurement:

- low statistics
- large backgrounds
- require prefect detector performance (8 jets, 4 b-tags)

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)





Yukawa coupling



Results of ILD simulation (500 fb⁻¹ at 500 GeV)



 \Rightarrow statistical uncertainty of about 17% expected (6% with 4'000 fb⁻¹)

Yukawa coupling



Results of ILD simulation (500 fb⁻¹ at 500 GeV)



 \Rightarrow statistical uncertainty of about 17% expected (6% with 4'000 fb⁻¹)

Significant improvement when going to higher energies:

- 7% with 500 fb⁻¹ at 550 GeV (!)
- 4.3-4.5% with 1.5 ab^{-1} at 1 TeV (ILC) or 1.4 TeV (CLIC)

Electroweak couplings



Pair production provides direct access to top electroweak couplings

- Possible higher order corrections \Rightarrow sensitive to "new physics" contribution
- Gene

neral coupling form:

$$\Gamma_{\mu}^{ttX}(k^{2},q,\overline{q}) = -ie \left\{ \gamma_{\mu} \left(F_{1V}^{X}(k^{2}) + \gamma_{5}F_{1A}^{X}(k^{2}) \right) + \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu}}{2m_{t}}(q+\overline{q})^{\mu} \left(iF_{2V}^{X}(k^{2}) + \gamma_{5}F_{2A}^{X}(k^{2}) \right) \right\}$$



Electroweak couplings



Pair production provides direct access to top electroweak couplings

Possible higher order corrections ⇒ sensitive to "new physics" contribution

General coupling form:

$$\Gamma_{\mu}^{ttX}(k^{2},q,\bar{q}) = -ie\left\{\gamma_{\mu}\left(F_{1V}^{X}(k^{2}) + \gamma_{5}F_{1A}^{X}(k^{2})\right) + \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu}}{2m_{t}}(q+\bar{q})^{\mu}\left(iF_{2V}^{X}(k^{2}) + \gamma_{5}F_{2A}^{X}(k^{2})\right)\right\}$$

5 non-trivial form factors can be constrained through measurement of:

- total cross-section
- forward-backward asymmetry
- helicity angle distribution in top decays

for two polarization combinations: $e_L^- e_R^+$ and $e_R^- e_L^+$



Already the initial ILC running will allow for top coupling determination with 1-2% accuracy





In the Standard Model, FCNC top decays are strongly suppressed (GIM mechanism + CMK suppression):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} BR(t \rightarrow c \gamma) &\sim & 5 \cdot 10^{-14} \\ BR(t \rightarrow c Z) &\sim & 1 \cdot 10^{-14} \\ BR(t \rightarrow c g) &\sim & 5 \cdot 10^{-12} \\ BR(t \rightarrow c H) &\sim & 3 \cdot 10^{-15} \end{array}$$



In the Standard Model, FCNC top decays are strongly suppressed (GIM mechanism + CMK suppression):

 $\begin{array}{rcl} BR(t \rightarrow c \gamma) &\sim & 5 \cdot 10^{-14} \\ BR(t \rightarrow c Z) &\sim & 1 \cdot 10^{-14} \\ BR(t \rightarrow c g) &\sim & 5 \cdot 10^{-12} \\ BR(t \rightarrow c H) &\sim & 3 \cdot 10^{-15} \end{array}$

Significant enhancement possible in many "new physics" scenarios, due to modified couplings or loop contributions on new particles



In the Standard Model, FCNC top decays are strongly suppressed (GIM mechanism + CMK suppression):

 $\begin{array}{rcl} BR(t \rightarrow c \gamma) &\sim & 5 \cdot 10^{-14} \\ BR(t \rightarrow c Z) &\sim & 1 \cdot 10^{-14} \\ BR(t \rightarrow c g) &\sim & 5 \cdot 10^{-12} \\ BR(t \rightarrow c H) &\sim & 3 \cdot 10^{-15} \end{array}$

Significant enhancement possible in many "new physics" scenarios, due to modified couplings or loop contributions on new particles

Decay $t \rightarrow c H$ considered in the presented study:

- enhancement up to $10^{-5} 10^{-2}$ possible
- test of Higgs boson couplings
- well constrained kinematics
- seems to be most difficult for LHC

Two Higgs Doublet Model (2HDM) type III used as a test scenario.

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

Top physics at future e^+e^- colliders



Event selection: $t\bar{t}$ final state

"Signal" top: $t \to cH$, followed by Higgs decay to $b\bar{b} \Rightarrow 2 \ b$ tags "Spectator" top: $t \to bW$ (dominant SM decay) $\Rightarrow 1 \ b$ tag

Considered final states (resulting from "spectator" W^{\pm} decay channels):

- semileptonic: 4 jets (3 *b*-tags) + lepton + missing p_t
- fully hadronic: 6 jets (3 *b*-tags), no leptons, no missing p_t



Event selection: $t\bar{t}$ final state

"Signal" top: $t \to cH$, followed by Higgs decay to $b\bar{b} \Rightarrow 2 \ b$ tags "Spectator" top: $t \to bW$ (dominant SM decay) $\Rightarrow 1 \ b$ tag

Considered final states (resulting from "spectator" W^{\pm} decay channels):

- semileptonic: 4 jets (3 *b*-tags) + lepton + missing p_t
- fully hadronic: 6 jets (3 *b*-tags), no leptons, no missing p_t

Background

Kinematic constraints allow for selection of high purity $t\bar{t}$ sample Main background expected from:

- top decays followed by CKM suppressed $W^-
 ightarrow bar{c}$
- miss-reconstruction of standard $t\bar{t}$ events



Signal selection

Compare two hypothesis:

background hypothesis

$$\chi^2_{bg} = \left(\frac{M_{bl\nu} - m_t}{\sigma_{t,lep}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{l\nu} - m_W}{\sigma_{W,lep}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{bbq} - m_t}{\sigma_{t,had}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{bq} - m_W}{\sigma_{W,had}}\right)^2$$

signal hypothesis

$$\chi^2_{sig} = \left(\frac{M_{bl\nu} - m_t}{\sigma_{t,lep}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{l\nu} - m_W}{\sigma_{W,lep}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{bbq} - m_t}{\sigma_{t,had}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{bb} - m_h}{\sigma_h}\right)^2$$

Independent search for best background and signal combinations

VARSAW UNIVERSIT

Signal selection

Difference of $\log_{10} \chi^2$ for two hypothesis, for signal and background events Before (solid) and after (dashed) other selection cuts



Jet energy resolution 50%, 70% *b*-tagging efficiency Very efficient background rejection possible

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

Top physics at future e^+e^- colliders



Expected limits on $BR(t \rightarrow ch) \times BR(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$

Collision energy 500 GeV, different jet energy resolutions





Expected limits on $BR(t \rightarrow ch) \times BR(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$

Jet energy resolution 50%, different collision energies





Precise determination of top parameters is crucial for validation of the Standard Model (or any alternative BSM theory)

Top threshold scan at the e^+e^- collider gives unique oportunities for precise mass, width and coupling determination

Direct measurement of Yukawa and electroweak couplings require running at higher beam energies

High background suppression capabilities will allow searches for FCNC top decays down to $BR \sim 10^{-5}-10^{-4}$

Even in clean e^+e^- environment, top event reconstruction is very challenging. Stringent requirements are imposed on detector performance.



Selected presentations used as a source of plots or results:

- Philipp Roloff, *Measurement of the top Yukawa coupling CLIC*, Americas Workshop on Linear Colliders (AWLC14), Fermilab 2014
- Roman Pöschl, ILC Physics Case, XXI Cracow EPIPHANY Conference, Cracow 2015
- Frank Simon, *Threshold Scans at Linear Colliders*, Top physics at Lepton Colliders, Valencia 2015
- Roman Pöschl, *Top quark physics at Linear Colliders*, EPS-HEP 2015, Vienna 2015

For more details on top FCNC decays see:

 A.F.Żarnecki, Sensitivity to top FCNC decay t → ch at future e⁺e⁻ colliders, Top physics at Lepton Colliders, Valencia 2015



Thank you!

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw) Top physics at future e^+e^- colliders

September 8, 2015 25 / 30



Standard Model stability

Stability criteria as a function of top and Higgs boson mass



Backup



Future e^+e^- colliders

Expected luminosity of considered accelerators



Backup



Top threshold

Results of the NNNLO threshold cross section computations





29 / 30

Rare decays

Expected maximal FCNC branching ratios for different models

Model	$BR(t \rightarrow c H)$	$BR(t \rightarrow c \gamma)$	$BR(t \rightarrow c g)$	$BR(t \rightarrow c Z)$
SM	$3\cdot 10^{-15}$	$5\cdot 10^{-14}$	$5\cdot 10^{-12}$	10^{-14}
2HDM	10^{-5} - 10^{-4}	10^{-9}	10 ⁻⁸	10^{-10}
2HDM (FV)	$10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$	$10^{-6} - 10^{-7}$	10^{-4}	10^{-6}
MSSM	10^{-5} - 10^{-4}	10^{-8} - 10^{-6}	10^{-7} - 10^{-4}	$10^{-8} - 10^{-6}$
<i>I</i> R SUSY	10^{-9} - 10^{-6}	10^{-9} - 10^{-5}	10 ⁻⁵ - 10 ⁻³	$10^{-6} - 10^{-4}$
Little Higgs	10^{-5}	$1.3\cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.4\cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.6\cdot 10^{-5}$
Quark Singlet	$4.1\cdot 10^{-5}$	$7.5\cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.5\cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.1\cdot 10^{-4}$
Randal-Sundrum	10^{-4}	10^{-9}	10^{-10}	10^{-3}

Backup



Rare decays

Selection of $t \rightarrow cH$ events - comparison of signal and bg. hypothesis



80% *b*-tagging efficiency (scenario B)