Hellenic School of HEP, Corfu

LHC Mythology

Grzegorz Wrochna

Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw

graphics processing by Michal Wrochna

6 stories about LHC physics



Hades - nothing, but SM



Trojan Horse - SM higgs



Pandora's box - SUSY



How to learn what will happen at LHC? Ask PYTHIA !



Most of the results presented here comes from this source.

Particle physics today

The Standard Model precisely describes both electroweak and strong interactions. No significant deviation from its predictions was observed so far.

<u>But:</u>

- it has ~20 free parameters
- particle masses are generated by Higgs mechanism, not determined within the Standard Model
- Higgs particle was not observed so far
- Standard Model does not explain
 - existence of 3 generations of fermions
 - mixing between different generations

Strategy for the near future:

- find Higgs particle or exclude its existence in the region allowed by theory (~1 TeV)
- look for deviations from the Standard Model
- search for new particles (~50 GeV ~5 TeV)

Needed tools

- accelerator:
 - high energy:
 - wide energy range
 - high luminosity

14 TeV "for free" in pp beams 10³⁴cm⁻²s⁻¹

detectors:

- ATLAS, CMS, LHC-B, ALICE
- universal (e, γ , μ , jets, missing energy)
- fine grained (large number of particles): ~10⁷ channels

LHC

- fast (high luminosity):

25 ns bunch crossing



Detectors (for theorists :-)

Only γ , e^{\pm} , p^{\pm} , n, μ^{\pm} , π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , $K^0_{\ L}$ can be detected directly.

A universal detector must have four componetns to distinguish them:



Compact Muon Solenoid — CMS



ATLAS











<u>CP Physics Performance (LoI)</u>

1		<i>L</i> =	$L = 1.5 \times 10^{32}$ one year $= 10^{7}$ s		
LH	CD deca	ay No.	of unc	ertainty	
LH	rch mod	le ever	nts in c	one year	
sir	$12\alpha B_d \rightarrow 2$	$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ 14	k <mark>σ(sin</mark> 2	2α) = 0.039 if no penguin)	
sir	$12\beta B_d \rightarrow .$	J/ψK _S 55	k $\sigma (\sin 2)$	$(2\beta) = 0.023$ TLAS: 0.017 CMS: 0.015	
γ'	$B_s \rightarrow I$	$O_s K = 3.3$	k $\sigma(\gamma) =$	6° ~ 16°	
γ	$B_d \rightarrow D$	DK [*] 0.9 1	k σ(γ) =	7° ~ 12°	
sir	п 2бү $\mathrm{B_s} \rightarrow \mathrm{J}$	[/ψφ 44]	k σ (2δγ)	≤0.02	
x_{s}	$\mathrm{B_s} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}$	$D_s \pi$ 35	k x _s	≤ 55	

- Our measurements are not systematic limited





The Kingdom of Hades



Imagine, that nothing new will be discovered at LHC.

Not even the SM higgs.

Silent and empty Elysian Fields from 175 GeV to a few TeV ...

What will we do?

Standard Model at LHC

<u>QCD</u>

- proton structure: DY (incl. W & Z), jet & top production
- min. bias studies: $\sigma_{tot},$ particle spectra
- jet structure and fragmentation, σ, α_{S}
- hard diffraction: η-gaps, pomeron, ...
- photon physics: γ, γγ, γj, γb γc
- heavy flavour production

electroweak physics

- Wγ & WZ production
- W mass
- triple gauge couplings

b-quark physics

- CP violation
- oscillations
- rare decays

top quark physics



Is it a Sisyphus work? Hopefully not!

Jet spectra and compositness

Deviation from SM can be a sign





H⁰ production at hadron colliders:



Standard Model Higgs



 $t\bar{t}H^0_{SM} \rightarrow l^{\pm}\nu q\bar{q}b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ $m_{H^0} = 115 \; GeV/c^2$



 $H_{SM^{-}}$.. in CMS PbWO₄ calorimeter



$H_{SM} \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l \nu l \nu$

lepton p_T distributions



 $H_{SM} \rightarrow WW \rightarrow lvjj$

30 fb⁻¹

M_H = 1000 GeV





 $H \rightarrow WW^{(*)} \rightarrow l\nu l\nu$ selection criteria

1. Two isolated leptons:

 $P_T^1 >$ 20 GeV, $P_T^2 >$ 15 GeV and $|\eta| <$ 2.5;

2. Two tag jets:

 $P_T^1 > 40$ GeV, $P_T^2 > 20$ GeV and $\Delta \eta_{tags} = |\eta_{tag}^1 - \eta_{tag}^2| > 3.8;$

 $\eta_{tag}^{min} < \eta_{l_{1,2}} < \eta_{tag}^{max};$

Tag jets should not be b-jets \Rightarrow b-jet veto ($\epsilon_b = 0.70$) for tag jets within $|\eta| < 2.5$

3. Lepton Angular and Di-lepton mass cuts:

(exploit angular correlations (Spin-0 Higgs \rightarrow Spin-1 W's) \Rightarrow leptons are expected to have a small angular separation)



Karl Jakobs

ECFA/DESY workshop, St.Malo,April 2002

ATLAS Higgs discovery potential for 30 fb⁻¹



- Vector boson fusion channels improve the sensitivity significantly in the low mass region
- Several channels available over the full mass range (important for Higgs becon parameter determination)

(important for Higgs boson parameter determination)

SM higgs — The Trojan Horse



Imagine, that we turn on LHC and we find just SM higgs. We will behave like Trojans finding the Wooden Horse:

- We will anounce it to the world.
- We will celebrate our great victory.
- There will be laurel wreaths for heroes (Nobel) and festivities for the crowd,
- TV shows and newspaper covers ...

But this will be the begining of the end ...

We will be left with

- the Standard Model which works perfectly, but we do not understand why,
- the Higgs mechanism which we do not know where it comes from,
- nonunified forces, random symmetries, and ~20 arbitrary parameters,
- with no hint what is behind,
- no idea what to do next ...

Supersymmetry - the Box of Pandora

Physicists are curious about the nature of symmetries observed in particle world,

likewise Pandora was curious about the content of the beautiful box.

One can suspect existence of even higher symmetry — the supersymmetry.

However, to appear in our world it must be broken.

It may release

- dozens of new particles
- hundreds of production modes
- thousands of decay channels

to be studied at LHC ...



Philosophers on Supersymmetry



Significance contours for SUSY Higgses

Regions of the MSSM parameter space $(m_A, tg\downarrow)$ explorable through various SUSY Higgs channels

- 5 σ significance contours
- two-loop / RGE-improved radiative corrections
- \bullet m_{top} = 175 GeV, m_{SUSY} = 1 TeV



MSSM higgses



MSSM higgses



Signal superimposed on the total background for 30 fb⁻¹

A, H -> $\tau\tau$ -> 2 τ -jets + E_t^{miss} + X E_t^{miss} rejection factor for QCD ~ 20 (present ORCA study)



 $E_t^{\tau-jet} > 60 \text{ GeV}, 1/3 \text{ prong sel. with } p_t^h(max) > 40 \text{ GeV}, E_t^{miss} > 40 \text{ GeV}, .\phi (j_1, j_2) < 175^0$





A, H ... $\tilde{\cdot}$ $\tilde{\cdot}$ 4 ℓ_{isol} +Et miss



Gluino/squark production event topology allowing sparticle mass reconstruction



3 isolated leptons + 2 b-jets + 4 jets + E_t^{miss}

Such cascade decays allow to reconstruct sleptons, neutralinos, squarks, gluinos... in favorable cases with %level mass resolutions

Explorable domain in \tilde{q} , \tilde{g} seaches in n leptons + E_t^{miss} + \geq 2 jets final states



Relic $\tilde{1}^{0}$ density contours in mSUGRA

after inclusion of $\tilde{\tau}_R \cdot \tilde{\tau}_1^0$ + ...co-annihilation channels - upper limit on cosmologically acceptable m($\tilde{\tau}_1^0$)

- reach at LHC/CMS in various final state topologies



→ upper limit on $m_{1/2} \approx 1400 \text{GeV}$ thus on $m(\tilde{.}_1^0) \approx 600 \text{ GeV}$

Conclusions on SUSY searches

- The ultimate SUSY reach in terms of squark/gluino masses is 2.5 3 TeV (mSUGRA) with 300 fb⁻¹; in the worst case of degenerate gaugino masses (and heavy squarks and sleptons) the gluino mass reach is still expected to be ~ 1.5 TeV.
- The cosmologically preferred region of mSUGRA parameter space $(0.1 < \Omega h^2 < 0.3)$ is found to be within the LHC ultimate reach.
 - Large domain of mSUGRA parameter space where $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decays into leptons (direct 3-body decay or cascade one via sleptons) is explorable in 2(3) leptons (+/É T+ jets) channels with corresponding observation of dilepton mass edge used in various mass reconstruction methods.

Conclusions on SUSY searches

- For moderate values of tan β the range of $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ mass explorable in 3 leptons + no jets + \not{E}_T reaches 150-155 GeV with 100 fb⁻¹.
 - Decay of the lightest SUSY Higgs into $b\overline{b}$ can be observed with S/B ratio of ~1 in a wide range of mSUGRA parameter space (where $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 h$).
 - With integrated luminosity of 100 fb⁻¹ stau mass in the minimal GMSB model (for high tan β , M/ Λ = 200, Λ = 50 300 TeV) can be measured in the range from 90 to 700 GeV. The $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ lifetime can be measured from 1cm to 1 km for $\sigma_{\text{SUSY}} > 100$ fb⁻¹.
 - In a R-parity violation scenario with $\lambda_{ijk} \neq 0$ (purely leptonic decay) the parameter region explorable with integrated luminosity of 10 fb⁻¹ extends up to masses of squark/gluino of ~ 1.5-1.8 TeV.

Odyssey - exotica





4th generation fermion Giants

Several models containing 4th generation leptons and quarks with a giantic mass have been proposed.

Plots for 100 fb⁻¹



Monopole Cyclopes



Monopoles are particles with only one magnetic pole instead of two.

They could be observed at LHC through hard photons produced in the process:



Hard photon pairs from monopoles

M - monopole mass, **n** - monopole charge, $S_T = |p_T(\gamma 1)| + |p_T(\gamma 2)|$

Plots for 100 fb⁻¹



Leptoquark Centauros



_____ Grzegorz Wrochna

Technicolor Sirens

Technicolor is a new strong interaction at high scale.

It provides an alternative to higgs mechanism of EW symmetry breaking by means of technipions (conndensates of technifermions).

Technihadron resonances, could be observed at LHC.



Some theorists loose their lives attracted by this alluring theory like Odysseus by Sirens. But it seems to be a dead end ...

Technicolor resonances



"New Physics" — the Sphinx puzzle



Can we discover at LHC something unexpected?

Detectors were optimized for discovery of higgs. Their performance was tested with typical signatures:

• hard μ , e, γ , jets originated from the interaction point, missing E_T, etc.

What, if we see something unusual, like

- hard objects (e.g. γ) created far from the IP,
- ~stable, heavy (nonrelativistic) particles.

It would look as strange as Sphinx — a lion with wings and women's head.

It would presents as with a difficult puzzle.

The life of particle physics might depend on the right solution.



Gravitions in GMSB models

LSP = gravitino, NLSP = neutralino or stau

- long lived stau looks like a heavy (nonrelativistic) muon
- neutralinos decaying far from IP give non-pointing photons
- cascade decays of neutralinos produce sharply edged distributions (for all lifetime values)

NLSP	short lived	decaying	long lived
$ ilde{N}_1 ightarrow ilde{G} \gamma$	like MSSM +2γ	cτ measurement by • ECAL counting • μCAL counting • ECAL/μCAL ratio • ECAL impact par. • μCAL slope	like MSSM
$\tilde{ au}_{l} ightarrow \tilde{G} au$	like MSSM +2τ	both cτ and mass measurement	mass mea- surement by TOF method

Mass measurement of long lived staus

Specific GMSB scenarios: n=3, tan β =45, M/ Λ =200, Λ =50-300 TeV $\sigma_{SUSY} = 1 \text{ fb} - 10 \text{ pb}, \quad \tilde{q} \, \tilde{g} \quad \text{masses} = 1-4 \text{ TeV}, \quad \tilde{\tau} \quad \text{mass} = 90-700 \text{ GeV}$ Method: TOF(Drift Tubes) $\rightarrow 1/\beta \rightarrow \text{mass} \quad \text{Results:}$



CMS can measure τ mass from 90 to ~700 GeV with \int L=100/fb Upper limit corresponds to $\sigma_{\rm SUSY}$ =1fb and \tilde{q} \tilde{g} masses of ~4 TeV

Muon system as calorimeter

If $\tilde{N}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{G}\gamma$ decay happen inside the muon system the photon will develop an electromagnetic shower.



Electromagnetic showers in the muon system (caused by energetic muons) were extensively studied in RD5 experiment and CMS simulation.



Neutralino flight path seen in µCAL



"Cheshire plot" — the smiling cat of Alice from Wonderland. Late showers caused by $\tilde{N}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{G}\gamma$ detected in muon stations.

Measurement of neutralino $c\tau$



" μ CAL counting" — compare the number of events for different $c\tau$ " μ CAL slope" — compare the shape of \tilde{N}_1 flight path distributions

Non-pointing photons in ECAL





Impact parameter distribution



CMS sensitivity to neutralino life time



<u>Conclusions</u>

different ECAL and μ CAL methods are complementary CMS can measure \tilde{N}_1 c τ from 1cm to 1km for scenarios with σ_{SUSY} >100fb

Extra dimensions at Olympus

Original formulation:

All phenomena on flat, two-dimensional Earth can be explained by introducing the third (vertical) dimension - Olympus.

| <mark>↓</mark> 2

The idea was modified by N.Arkani-Hamed, S.Dimopoulos & G.Dvali (ADD) who proposed extra dimensions compactified at R ~1 mm.



Hierarchy problem

Two fundamental scales:

- Electroweak $M_{EW} \sim 10^2 10^3 \text{ GeV}$
- Planck M_{Pl} ~ 10¹⁹ GeV

<u>Observation:</u>

- M_{EW} is established experimentaly
 - EW interactions are tested down to distances $1/M_{EW} \sim 10^{-17}$ cm
- M_{Pl} is just a number

– gravity tested only down to ~ 1 mm, far away from $1/M_{Pl} \sim 10^{-35}$ m

Solution: cut the Gordian Knot!

- There is only one fundamental scale: \mathbf{M}_{EW}
- M_{Pl} is just an effective constant
- Its high value is caused by additional spatial dimentions, compactified at radius R ~ 1 mm



4+n dimensional gravity (ADD)

N.Arkani-Hamed, S.Dimopoulos, G.Dvali, hep-ph/9803315

R — compactification radius $M_{\rm S}$ — 4+n dimensional "Planck scale"

Gravitational potential in 4+n dim space

for small distances r << R

$$V(r) = \frac{m_1 m_2}{M_S^{n+2}} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{n+1}}$$

for large distances r >> R

$$V(r) = \frac{m_1 m_2}{M_s^{n+2} R^n} \cdot \frac{1}{r}$$

This becomes the Newton's law with

$$M_{PI}^2 = M_S^{n+2} R^n$$

Let us put M_S ~ M_{EW} (no hierarchy!)

$$R = 10^{\frac{30}{n} - 17} cm$$

$\text{n=1} \rightarrow \text{R=10}^8 \text{ km}$

• Solar System distances, gravity very well tested

 $\textbf{n=2} \rightarrow \textbf{R=0.1} \text{ mm}$

• just beyond the current limits



- "Tower" of graviton excitations km_1 where m_1 =400eV for n=1
- ADD gravitons couple to momentum tensor and therefore contibute to most of SM processes
- Gravitons can propagate through other dimension
 ⇒ graviton emission apparently violate energy conservation
- Graviton spin of 2 can point to other dimension
 ⇒ apparent spin 0, 1 or 2
- Cross sections are divergent with s
 ⇒ explicit cut-off required



Ka

mc

COI

Fo

Sir

en

in i

vie

Sir

a Ł

fro

ap

De

WC

sig

wit

pai

ADD signatures & limits

- Supernova cooling (Kamiokande v) **Real Graviton Emission** $-M_{S} > 30 \text{ TeV} (n=2)$ Monojets at hadron colliders $-M_{S} > 4 \text{ TeV} (n=3)$ • Cosmic diffuse γ radiation (G $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$) $-M_{S} > 100 \text{ TeV} (n=2)$ $-M_{S} > 5 \text{ TeV} (n=3)$ • LEP2: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma G$, ZG 3 Single VB at hadron or e⁺e⁻ colliders $-M_{S} > 1.2 \text{ TeV} (n=2)$ $-M_{\rm S} > 0.8$ Tev (n=4) • LEP2: $e^+e^- \rightarrow G \rightarrow ZZ$, ff, $\gamma\gamma$, WW - M_S > 1.2 TeV BOOM GKK • HERA: $ep \rightarrow G \rightarrow ep$ **G**KK ×~V $-M_{\rm S}$ > 0.81 - 0.93 TeV
 - Tevatron: $e^+e^- \rightarrow G \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $\gamma\gamma M_S > 1.0 1.1 \text{ TeV}$

Expected:

• Tevatron run II: $e^+e^- \rightarrow gG$ $-M_S > 1.4 \text{ TeV} (n=2)$ $-M_S > 1.15 \text{ TeV} (n=3)$ $-M_S > 1.0 \text{ TeV} (n=4)$ Virtual Graviton Emission Fermion or VB pairs at hadron or e⁺e⁻ colliders





TeV⁻¹ extra dimensions

Antoniadis, Benaklis, Quiros, PL B460, 176(1999)

<u>Consider :</u>

- p dimensions of $R_1 \sim 0.1 \text{ mm}$
- n-p dimensions of $R_2 \sim TeV^{-1}$

$$M_{PI}^{2} = M_{S}^{n+2}R_{1}^{p}R_{2}^{n-p}$$

- gravity in all n extra-dimensions
- SM in n-p extra-dimensions $R_2 \Rightarrow \sim TeV$ excitations of γ , W, Z, g

Build your favourite model:

- put each of $SU(3)_C$, $SU(2)_L$, $U(1)_Y$ in any subset of dimensions
- put higgses in standard dimensions, extra dimensions or both
- put fermions at "our wall", stack at other walls or let them propagate in more dimensions (in the bulk)
- study implications for LHC will be very different !



TeV⁻¹ phenomenology

Consider the simplest case:

- one TeV⁻¹ dimension
- all SM in extra dimensions (bulk)
- all fermions at our wall

<u>LHC searches:</u>

only the first resonance accessible

- qq $\rightarrow \gamma^{(1)}$ / Z⁽¹⁾ $\rightarrow l^+ l^-$
- qq \rightarrow W⁽¹⁾ \rightarrow l^+ v⁻
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot} \, qq \rightarrow g^{(1)} \rightarrow gg$

Current limit:

Tevatron:

• 1/R₂ > 0.8 TeV



Randall - Sundrum Olympus



Randal and Sundrum proposed to come back to the ancien Greek idea of two worlds (branes) separated in the extra dimension (bulk).

- Gravity strong at y=0 and falls like exp(-ky)
- Gravity scale $\Lambda_{\pi} = M_{Planck} \exp(-k\pi r_c) \sim TeV$ — no hierarchy
- Graviton resonances $m_n = x_n k \exp(-k\pi r_c)$, $J_1(x_n)=0$
- $M_{Planck}/M_{electroweak} \Rightarrow kr_{c} \sim 11-12$
- Newton's law $\Rightarrow |R_5| < M_{5D}^2$ \Rightarrow coupling c < 0.1

 $R_5 = -20 k^2$ k - curvature $M_{5D}^3 = k M_{Planck}^2$



$M_G = 1000, 1500, 2000, 3000 \, GeV$





Coupling 0.2, 0.1, 0.02, 0.01



Randall - Sundrum gravitons at LHC



Extra dimension perspectives

Models with extra dimensions can

- unify all interactions
- solve the hierarchy problem
- link String Theory to Standard Model
- make Quantum Gravity and String Theory accessible at LHC like Prometeus made the divine fire accessible for people

Perhaps it is only a dream... But I wish you and me this dream to come true!